

Committee on Appropriations

Guidelines for the FY2022 Community Project Funding Member Request Process

What is required for requesting Community Project Funding?

- **Limit of 10 Community Project Funding requests.** Given the limited scope for which the Committee will consider Community Project Funding requests, Members will be limited to no more than 10 requests (excluding programmatic and language requests) across all Subcommittees, though only a handful may actually be funded. Members will be required to prioritize their community project requests when they are submitted to the Member database.

- **Community Support.** Community engagement and support is crucial in determining which projects are worthy of Federal funding. Only projects with demonstrated community support will be considered. This recommendation builds on past Committee reforms, and Members will be required to present to the Committee evidence of community support that were compelling factors in their decision to submit the request. Examples of these include, but are not limited to:
 - Letters of support from elected community leaders (e.g. mayors or other officials);
 - Press articles highlighting the need for the requested Community Project Funding;
 - Support from newspaper editorial boards;
 - Projects listed on State intended use plans, community development plans, or other publicly available planning documents; or
 - Resolutions passed by city councils or boards.

These are intended to be examples of the type of information that you may consider presenting to the Committee in conjunction with your project. It is not an exhaustive list. Please direct questions to the relevant Subcommittee.

- **Financial Disclosure Statement.** Pursuant to House rule XXIII, clause 17, for each Community Project Funding request, Members are required to send the Chair and Ranking Member a letter stating that the Member does not have a financial interest in the proposed project. The Committee is expanding the requirement for the first time to certify that no one in their immediate family has a financial interest either. The Committee will not consider a requested project without this certification, and the template is included on the Committee's website. This is a separate letter from any programmatic or language-based requests. A summary of the elements required in the disclosure statement is below:

- Any Member requesting funding for a community project is required to provide a written statement to the Chair and Ranking Member of the Committee on Appropriations that includes the requesting Member's name, the name and address of the intended recipient of the "Congressional earmark" (or, if there is no intended recipient, the intended location of the activity), the purpose, and a certification that the requesting Member does not have a financial interest in the project. An additional Committee requirement is to certify that no immediate family member has a financial interest.

This letter must accompany the submission in the Committee's electronic database system, and the Committee will post it online if the request is funded in a FY 2022 Appropriations Bill. Programmatic and language-based requests do not require a disclosure letter. All Community Project Funding requests and any language requests that name a specific recipient of Federal funds will require a disclosure letter. For clarification on whether a disclosure letter is required, please contact the Subcommittee of jurisdiction.

- **Member Requirement to Post All Requests Online.** For transparency, Members are required to post Community Project Funding requests on their house.gov websites at the time the request is made to the Committee. The information posted must include:
 - the proposed recipient,
 - the address of the recipient,
 - the amount of the request,
 - and an explanation of the request, including purpose, and a justification for why it is an appropriate use of taxpayer funds.

Members will be asked to provide a link to the webpage containing this information when they enter the request into the Members' Request database system. The Committee will use that link in its "one-stop" online database.

What criteria will be used to evaluate Community Project Funding requests?

Specific instructions will be provided in Dear Colleagues from each of the Subcommittee Chairs, including which accounts are eligible for such requests and the information Members must include for Subcommittees to properly evaluate such requests. This information must be provided in full in the database. More generally, Subcommittees will consider requests with the following in mind:

- **Ban on For-Profit recipients.** The Committee is imposing a ban on directing Community Project Funding to for-profit entities.

- **Matching requirements.** Several Federal programs eligible for Community Project Funding requests require a State or local match for projects either by statute or according to longstanding policy. The Committee will not waive these matching requirements for Community Project Funding requests, so it is important that Member offices discuss with their State and local officials the ability for localities to meet matching requirements prior to requesting a project. Note: This does not mean that matching funds must be in-hand prior to requesting a project, but that local officials must have a plan to meet such requirements in order for such a project to be viable.
- **One-year funding.** Each project request must be for fiscal year 2022 funds only and cannot include a request for multiyear funding.
- **State or local governmental entities as grantees.** Members are encouraged to consider public entities as primary grantees to oversee the completion of the project.
 - For infrastructure projects, many States have established lists or intended use plans with projects that have already been vetted by governmental officials (e.g. drinking water, wastewater and highways).
- **Non-profits as grantees.** If a Member requests that funding be directed to a non-profit organization, the Member will need to provide evidence that the recipient is a non-profit organization as described under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. Further, many water projects often partner with non-profit entities to complete projects. Therefore, projects may also be directed to non-profits with an inherently governmental function.

What additional items should I be aware of related to Community Project Funding requests?

- **Lobbyists, donors and other affiliated parties.** While Members are required to certify to the Committee that neither they nor their immediate family have a financial interest in a proposed project, Members should also be fully aware of any other financial aspects or relationships associated with the proposed project that might raise ethical concerns. These include but are not limited to lobbyists, donors, or other affiliated parties that have an interest in the project.
- **“One-stop” webpage for the public.** The Appropriations Committee will maintain a website with links to all House Members’ appropriations project requests to help the public easily view them.
- **Transparency / Early Public Disclosure.** Per House rules, each bill’s Committee report will include a list identifying each community project that has been funded in the bill

along with the name of the Member requesting it. Each Subcommittee will make such lists public at the time of their Subcommittee markup.

What is the definition of “Earmark?”

- The Appropriations Committee uses the definition of “earmark” found in House rule XXI.

A “Congressional earmark” is defined as “a provision or report language included primarily at the request of a Member, Delegate, Resident Commissioner, or Senator providing, authorizing or recommending a specific amount of discretionary budget authority, credit authority, or other spending authority for a contract, loan, loan guarantee, grant, loan authority, or other expenditure with or to an entity, or targeted to a specific State, locality or Congressional district, other than through a statutory or administrative formula driven or competitive award process.” (Clause 9 of House rule XXI)